

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/057,523	01/22/2002	George M. White	2222.0820005	5053	
26111 STERNE KES	7590 08/11/200 SSLER, GOLDSTEIN &	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			LERNER, MARTIN		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2626		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/11/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/057,523	WHITE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MARTIN LERNER	2626		

	WARTIN LERINER	2020					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 04 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 To FR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 To FR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	date of the final rejection.						
	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period act under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed with the notice of Appeal has	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	of the date of appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		E below);					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better 		tuoina or eimplifuina ti	o incues for				
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	auding or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reject	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 	See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	hefore or on the date of filing a No	ntice of Anneal will not	he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing- entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:	, , ,, 						
	/Martin Lerner/						
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2626					
	i fillary Examiner, Art O	1111 EUEU					

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicants' arguments submitted after final rejection are not persuasive. On the surface, Applicants' argument traversing the rejection under 35 U.S. C, \$112, 1st \(\frac{1}{1}\), may appear to have some ment, whereby a nexus is suggested between \(\frac{1}{1}\)(0.014) and \(\frac{1}{1}\)(0.073) does not provide a definition of what constitutes 'data' fror all purposes. The term 'data' is only being used generically, and there is no definition of what constitutes' data' fror \(\frac{1}{1}\)(0.073) and yesercibes what 'data' is received, while \(\frac{1}{1}\)(0.073) and yesercibes what 'data' is received, while \(\frac{1}{1}\)(0.014) only describes what 'data' is downloaded. The Specification, as originosel the five veryonds for grammars, and expressly disclose that control signals are downloaded, although it is clearly and expressly disclose that form of the veryonds for grammars, prompts, and news sources can be downloaded. Any connection between \(\frac{1}{1}\)(0.014) and \(\frac{1}{1}\)(0.073) is tenuous because the term "data" is being used generically without an explicit definition.

Applicants' arguments directed to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) are not fully persuasive either. Applicants appear to admit that Houser et al. discloses downloading vocabulary, but not downloading on signals. Applicants suggest the rejection should be formulated under 35 U.S.C. §102(a) because Houser et al. does not expressly disclose downloading additional control signals. Again, however, it is maintained that Applicants are being inconsistent in their requirements for a disclosure of downloading additional control signals. They wish to have a lax standard for meeting their own requirements for disclosure under 35 U.S.C. §102(a). However, it should be reasonably clear to one skilled in the art to that the supplemental vocabularies of Houser et al. implement control signals, at most by inherency, if necessary, because it is stated "this second vocabulary permits a user to use spoken language to implement basic television control, EPG control, VCR control, and event programming". (Column 23, Lines 45 to 50) That is, Houser et al. expressly uses the term "control" and "implementation" to describe how the second vocabulary provides a function that did not exist before, i.e., "an additional control signal". In his premote the second vocabulary for control inherently trigger an associated signal. Typically, this is referred to in the art as a kerword-value pair.